

**II. Remarks**

The reply filed on October 25, 2007 was considered non-compliant because the text was missing from withdrawn claims 3-8. Applicant has amended the claim section by adding the previously presented text to withdrawn claims 3-8. Accordingly, Applicant believes this has cured the non-compliance. Moreover, since the reply filed on October 25 was in response to a non-file office action, the corrected claims section, is now being submitted for consideration with the remarks presented in the reply filed on October 25, 2007.

*Conclusion*

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

November 27, 2007

Date

/Daniel P. Dailey/

\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel P. Dailey (Reg. No. 54, 054)